


RECEIVED

FEB 25 2000



Draft Environmental Impact Statement for a Geologic Repository for the Disposal of Spent Nuclear Fuel and High-Level Radioactive Waste

Comment Sheet

Name: Marylia Kelley

Organization: _____

Mailing Address: 5720 East Ave #116Livermore, CAZip: 94550Telephone number (optional): (925) 443-7148

I request that these comments be made a part of the official record.

- 1 What constitutes a disqualifying condition or factor for the Yucca Mountain site and what specific conditions at Yucca Mountain would cause you to recommend the site be disqualified?

Since DOE has now acknowledged that the groundwater travel time at Yucca Mountain is so short that it meets the disqualifying condition in DOE's own siting guidelines, why hasn't Yucca Mountain been disqualified under the provisions of the Nuclear Waste Policy Act?

- 2 Will one of the three thermal load alternative designs in the EIS be the actual repository design the DOE will submit to the NRC for license application? If not, will there be an EIS supplement?

How will the EIS deal with the currently discussed possible range of time between waste emplacement and repository closure of 50 to 300 years?

intro

My additional objections to burying long-lived, high-level nuclear include:

- 3 ① The fact it is located on Western Shoshone lands, and is being pushed forward over the objections of the Western Shoshone
- 4 ② Yucca Mtn was chosen more for political expediency than geological suitability
- 5 ③ Burial, in general, is problem prone and is not a solution to the nation's power plants nuclear waste

Please note: For your comment(s) to be considered in the Final Environmental Impact Statement, your comment(s) need to be received by the Department of Energy by February 9, 2000. To the extent practicable the Department will consider comments received after February 9.



Stop drilling

Yucca mountain

Thank you,

Marylia